

RECEIVED

Henry Walker (615) 252-2363 Fax: (615) 252-6363

200 AUG - 4 PM 4-27

en en en e

August 4, 2003A, DOOKET ROOM

Deborah Taylor, Tate Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243

Re:

Petition for Arbitration of ITC DeltaCom Communications, Inc. with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 Docket No. 03-00119

Dear Chairman Tate:

Please accept for filing in the above-captioned proceeding the original and fourteen copies of Direct Testimony of the following on behalf of ITC^DeltaCom:

Joseph Gillan, Don J. Wood Mary Conquest Jerry Watts Steve Brownworth

I have enclosed an additional copy to be stamped "filed." I appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

BOULT, CUMMINGS, CONNERS & BERRY, PLC

Bv

Henry Walker

414 Union Street, Suite 1600

P.O. Box 198062

Nashville, Tennessee 37219

(615) 252-2363

HW/pp Encl.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Guy Hicks 333 Commerce Street Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

on this the 4th day of August, 2003.

Henry Walker

PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. 1 Q:

2 A: My name is Steve Brownworth. I am an employee of ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. ("ITC^DeltaCom"), and my business address is 1791 O.G. 3 4

Skinner Drive, West Point, Georgia 31833.

5

8

9

10

11

PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR BUSINESS EXPERIENCE AND BACKGROUND. Q:

My education and relevant work experience are as follows: 7 A:

> I received a bachelor's degree with a major in Quantitative Methods from the University of Illinois - Chicago in 1982. I have over 20 years of telecommunications experience. My experience primarily lies in the design and deployment of IXC and CLEC architecture.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Currently I'm the Director of Systems Planning for ITC^DeltaCom. responsible for the network architecture of the local and long-distance voice network, data network (ATM/Frame/IP) and our fiber optic transport network. I've been in this position for the last eight years. In my role at ITC^DeltaCom, I've assisted other companies in their initial network design and configurations including SoLinc, PowerTel and Mindspring. These responsibilities include offnet vendor management, the negotiation of contracts with ITC^DeltaCom's IXC and CAP providers and determining how to best utilize the facilities offered in the interconnection agreement in the ITC^DeltaCom network.

22

23

21

Prior to joining ITC^DeltaCom, I spent five years, 1989-1994, with MCI as Sr.

Manager, Network Design, managing strategic designs of their SONET 1 transmission deployment, real-time restoration and reliability plans, dynamic 2 switch routing and capital cost justifications. Prior to MCI, from 1982 to 1989, I 3 held management positions with Telecom*USA, SouthernNet and Telesphere, in 4 switch network design, traffic engineering, line cost, and provisioning. 5 6 HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS AUTHORITY? 7 Q: 8 A: No. 9 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? 10 Q: The purpose of my testimony is to address unresolved issues concerning 11 A: network interconnection and various other network operations issues. 12 13 Issue 8(a): Integrated or Universal Digital Loop Carrier ("IDLC" and "UDLC") 14 SHOULD BELLSOUTH BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AN UNBUNDLED LOOP Q: 15 USING IDLC TECHNOLOGY TO ITC^DELTACOM THAT WILL ALLOW 16 ITC^DELTACOM TO PROVIDE CONSUMERS THE SAME QUALITY OF 17 SERVICE AS THAT OFFERED BY BELLSOUTH TO ITS CUSTOMERS? 18 A: IDLC is very important to ITC^DeltaCom because if unbundled local switching is no longer available or is only available in some areas, then the

quality of loop delivered is critical. It is imperative that ITC^DeltaCom be able to

order a local loop on behalf of the end user customer and that local loop should

receive the same quality of service that BellSouth currently offers that same

19

20

21

22

customer. In other words, BellSouth should not provide a degraded local loop to ITC^DeltaCom. By having access to IDLC technology or ensuring that there are no additional analog to digital (A to D) conversions, the end user consumer will be assured, when they move from one carrier to another, that they will have the same quality local loop.

A:

Q: WHY IS THE ANALOG TO DIGITAL (A TO D) CONVERSION CONCEPT IMPORTANT?

Additional A to D conversions cause problems associated with quality voice call, fax, and dial-up internet services. BellSouth's position seems to be that if the loop meets the minimum voice grade standards for the customer, regardless of quality of the local loop pre-conversion, it has met its obligations to ITC^DeltaCom. However, the customer perceives and experiences a degradation in service. Customers' typical experiences in this regard include problems with modem speed on dial-up internet/data services, fax, noise/static on the line and other quality issues.

Q: BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON IDLC IS FOR ITC^DELTACOM TO FOLLOW THE NEW BUSINESS REQUEST PROCESS. HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO THIS?

21 A: We have been working with BellSouth on the implementation of language that
22 requires no additional analog to digital conversions into our local service orders
23 and the network. Therefore no new business request should be required.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Furthermore, IDLC technology is not new and should not require a new business request from BellSouth. IDLC technology makes the BellSouth network more efficient by relying on less copper wire, providing protection switching, forwarding alarms, and working with larger size line counts in terms of the efficiency of network itself. If IDLC is not used by BellSouth the manner that ITC^DeltaCom is serviced will translate into additional signal regeneration, additional amplifiers, additional use of copper, all of which causes increased cost to BellSouth as well as poor quality to the consumer.

10

BellSouth does not give ITC^DeltaCom a clear alternative in the migration of customer IDLC loops to ITC^DeltaCom without causing additional A to D conversions. It is important for the customer to receive the same level of service and quality on the loop with BellSouth as with ITC^DeltaCom. The manner in which BellSouth designs and manages the local network with respect to CLECs does not allow parity at the customer level. The FCC in its UNE Remand Order made it clear that a loop network elements includes all "features, functions, and capabilities of the transmission facilities, including dark fiber and attached electronics..." and "capabilities" would necessarily include the speed associated with an IDLC loop.1 Further, the FCC stated that "(t)he definition of a network element is not limited to facilities, but also includes features, functions and capabilities as well. Some loops, such as integrated digital loop carrier (IDLC) are

¹ In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket 96-98, Rel. Nov. 5, 1999, ¶ 167.

equipped with multiplexing devices, without which they cannot be used to provides service to end users. Because excluding such equipment from the definition of the loop would limit the functionality of the loop, we include the attached electronics... within the loop definition." Finally, the FCC stated that nondiscriminatory access means "at least two things: first the quality of an unbundled network element that an incumbent LEC provides, as well as the access provided to that element, must be equal between all carriers requesting access to that element; second, where technically feasible, the access and unbundled network element provided by an incumbent LEC must be provided in "substantially the same time and manner" to that which the incumbent provides to itself."

ITC^DeltaCom cannot compete in the marketplace with BellSouth if the loops offered to ITC^DeltaCom are significantly different in terms of quality from the loops that BellSouth uses to service their own customers.

Issues 11(b): Access to UNEs

- Q: MR. WATTS IS PROVIDING TESTIMONY REGARDING SUBPART (A) OF ISSUE 11. WHAT IS ITC^DELTACOM'S POSITION REGARDING ISSUES 11(B)?
- A: Issue 11(b) addresses BellSouth's requirement for UNEs to be delivered to ITC^DeltaCom's collocation arrangement. ITC^DeltaCom asserts that this is not

² ld. At ¶175.

³ Id. At ¶ 490.

a valid requirement and that UNEs are currently being provided without being delivered to a collocation arrangement. BellSouth does not cite, nor can it, any federal or state authority for imposing such an illegal restriction on a competitor's ability to access BellSouth's network under Section 251 of the Act. Such a restraint would be starkly inconsistent with the Supreme Court's interpretation of Section 251(c)(3) of the Act. In rebuffing the ILECs' challenge to the FCC's so-called "all elements rule" (allowing competitive carriers to use any or all of the ILEC's network elements to create a telecommunications service), the Supreme Court has stated, we think, that the FCC reasonably omitted a facilities-ownership requirement. The 1996 Act imposes no such limitation; if anything, it suggests the opposite, by requiring in Section 251(c)(3) that incumbents provide access to 'any' requesting carrier.

Therefore, given that the neither the Act—as interpreted by the FCC, and affirmed by the Supreme Court—nor this Authority has ever imposed a collocation requirement on requesting carriers' ability to access UNEs, BellSouth cannot present any justification that would compel such a requirement at this time.

ITC^DeltaCom recommends that BellSouth's requirement regarding delivery of UNEs to collocation arrangements be denied and that the following language be approved:

BellSouth shall deliver the Network Elements purchased by ITC^DeltaCom

A:

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANY RECENT EXAMPLES THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO CITE?

Yes. ITC^DeltaCom is working with a CLEC where the CLEC would like to utilize ITC^DeltaCom's existing collocation resources. ITC^DeltaCom provided the CLEC with a Letter of Authorization ("LOA") allowing that CLEC to place UNE orders with BellSouth into our collocations. BellSouth rejected the CLEC's orders. BellSouth informed ITC^DeltaCom that it would process the UNE orders if the CLEC reordered these services as special access. BellSouth suggested as an alternative that ITC^DeltaCom could place all the UNE orders for the CLEC. This example clearly shows that BellSouth's treatment of UNEs to a collocation is discriminatory when compared to the use of the equivalent Special Access services to the same collocation.

A:

Q: WHAT IS THE IMPACT TO ITC^DELTACOM IF ITC^DELTACOM ORDERS THE UNE SERVICES FOR THE OTHER CLEC?

CLECs have their own operational systems and processes between themselves and BellSouth. Inserting ITC^DeltaCom as the ordering entity does not add any value to this process and in fact will significantly slow the process of provisioning customers. Additionally, we do not believe we are required to resell BellSouth UNE elements in order for another CLEC to utilize our collocation space.

Q: WHY IS IT IMPORTANT FOR CLECS TO UTILIZE OR SHARE

COLLOCATION SPACE?

A: The telecommunications industry and more specifically CLECs have very limited capital dollars available for network expansion. Where one CLEC has deployed capital to expand in the local network, it would be reasonable for other CLECs to utilize that collocation space and share this capital resource. The sharing of resources allows the CLEC that deployed the capital to more fully utilize its capital, thereby improving the return on the investment, and allows the other CLEC to preserve capital or to utilize capital in other areas of its network.

Issue 20(b): SS7 Point of interconnection

- Q: PLEASE DESCRIBE ITC^DELTACOM'S UNDERSTANDING AND POSITION REGARDING SS7 SIGNALING POINT OF INTERCONNECTION ("SPOI").
- 16 A: The SPOI should be either the BellSouth or CLEC serving wire center, as
 17 opposed to the mutually acceptable point of interconnection. The mutually
 18 acceptable SPOI language does not work because BellSouth and ITC^DeltaCom
 19 have different opinions on the SPOI.

ITC^DeltaCom's position is that it is willing to put the SPOI into BellSouth's network up to a reasonable point. We believe this reasonable point to be the Serving Wire Center of the Carrier POP out of which ITC^DeltaCom hands the

SS7 links to BellSouth. In this manner, ITC^DeltaCom pays for the facility from the BellSouth Serving Wire Center to the ITC^DeltaCom POP and from the ITC^DeltaCom POP, ITC^DeltaCom will use its own transport on its own network back to our STPs located in Atlanta, GA and Anniston, AL. We are not asking BellSouth to interconnect in Anniston or Atlanta into our POP space.

Q: BELLSOUTH'S POSITION SEEMS TO BE THAT ITC^DELTACOM SHOULD PAY FOR 100% OF THE COSTS TO THE BELLSOUTH STP. DO YOU AGREE?

No. BellSouth wants to treat the SS7 arrangement in a non-reciprocal manner. Both companies use the SS7 network equally. BellSouth is a customer of ITC^DeltaCom as much as ITC^DeltaCom is a customer of BellSouth. BellSouth-originated phone calls terminating to ITC^DeltaCom customers utilize the SS7 network. BellSouth is able to benefit from the SS7 network in terms of completing their phone calls to the ITC^DeltaCom network. Therefore, it is reasonable for BellSouth to pay for a fair portion of the connections between the STPs. Up to this point, ITC^DeltaCom has paid for 100% of the transport costs.

Q: HOW SHOULD THE AUTHORITY RULE ON THIS ISSUE?

20 A: The Authority should set a reasonable, pre-determined SPOI where both companies share in the costs of transport between STP pairs.

Issue 21: Dark Fiber Availability

Q: SHOULD BELLSOUTH MAKE AVAILABLE TO ITC^DELTACOM DARK FIBER LOOPS AND TRANSPORT AT ANY TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE POINT?

Yes. ITC^DeltaCom can pick up the dark fiber loop at areas other than the collocation site. BellSouth wants to require ITC^DeltaCom to pick up such loops only at the ITC^DeltaCom collocation site. Also, ITC^DeltaCom may want to interconnect with another CLEC, and as such, ITC^DeltaCom would pick up the dark fiber loop at the other CLEC's collocation site. By allowing ITC^DeltaCom to access dark fiber at any technically feasible point, the Authority would impose no greater burden on the BellSouth than that which BellSouth routinely undertakes itself to use dark fiber.

A:

ILECs regularly deploy fiber in segments with planned "breaks" in the path. These planned breaks also occur at points where larger backbone cable meets smaller distribution or lateral cables that connect to specific customer locations or remote terminals. In order to build maximum flexibility in how it uses its deployed fiber, the ILEC will place splice cases at these mid-span breaks. At these splice cases the ILEC can splice strands of fiber together in order to complete a path from one location (usually an ILEC central office) to another location, (usually a customer premises, remote terminal or with interoffice fiber another central office). Deployed fiber is also frequently left unconnected when that fiber path ends at a customer premises or remote terminal. When there is additional

demand for that fiber, additional fiber will be terminated. The function of termination actually involves a splice.

Further, the current NewSouth/BellSouth Tennessee interconnection agreement, attached as Exhibit A, contains language whereby BellSouth has agreed to make dark fiber available to NewSouth at any technically feasible point.

Q: BELLSOUTH BELIEVES THAT DARK FIBER SHOULD ONLY BE AVAILABLE TO ITC^DELTACOM AT ITC^DELTACOM COLLOCATION SPACE WITHIN THE BELLSOUTH CENTRAL OFFICE. DO YOU AGREE?

- A: No. Dark fiber should be available at points other than the ITC^DeltaCom collocation site within the BellSouth central office. There are two good examples of why it is not practical to always meet at ITC^DeltaCom's collocation space:
 - (1) ITC^DeltaCom and other CLECs may choose to combine or share collocation sites. Capital availability to CLECs is such that CLECs cannot continue to put in separate collocations and are seeking ways to share collocation sites and thereby reduce the amount of capital and expense for the deployment of local networks. One of the items we ask for is that dark fiber be delivered to collocation sites of other CLECs where ITC^DeltaCom and the CLEC have agreements to share networks.
 - (2) Often when ITC^DeltaCom is working with BellSouth on dark fiber, the fiber may not be available at a building or central office, but may

be available at a manhole or some other access point directly outside of a customer location or serving wire center. Where ITC^DeltaCom or another carrier has fiber into the building, we request that BellSouth meet us at that manhole (fiber splice point) and we can take the fiber into that central office or building on our own facilities. We have several examples of where we work cooperatively with BellSouth today. There are situations that exist today in Atlanta and New Orleans, where ITC^DeltaCom meets BellSouth with dark fiber at different points that dealt with access directly outside of the central office or directly outside of the building. This position is a sound and reasonable business practice that needs to be continued.

Q:

A:

WHAT POSITION HAVE OTHER COMMISSIONS TAKEN ON THIS ISSUE?

Many state commissions have recognized that the ILEC's refusal to splice and terminate dark fiber for CLECs violates their unbundling obligations and unreasonably limits the amount of unbundled dark fiber available to CLECs. SBC, for example, has argued before state commissions in California, Indiana and Texas that because un-terminated fiber is not connected to equipment at the customer location at the termination point it need not be unbundled. The California Public Utilities Commission ("California PUC") rejected SBC's

contention noting that it "is an attempt to define away its legal obligations"⁴ and that the California PUC did "not want to set a rule in place that would allow [SBC] to evade its obligations to unbundle dark fiber for CLECs, as mandated by the FCC."⁵ Likewise, SBC made similar assertions with a similar result before the Texas Public Utilities Commission ("Texas PUC"). The Texas PUC found:

that SWBT incorrectly interprets the FCC's intention. SWBT states that, consistent with the FCC's mandate in Paragraph 328, it is only obligated to provide dark fiber as a UNE if the fiber connects two points in SWBT's network. The Arbitrators, however, agree with CoServ's argument that "connectivity does not equal termination." Consequently, the Arbitrators find that the UNE Remand Order discussed connectivity in the context of distinguishing dark fiber that was already "in place and called into service" from the exampleof unused copper wire "stored in a spool in a warehouse."

Accordingly, the Texas PUC ruled that 'unterminated and unspliced fibers should be made available to [the CLEC] for use as UNE dark fiber," and

⁴ Application by Pacific Bell Telephone Company (U 1001 C) for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with MCImetro Access Transmission Services, L.L.C. (U 5253 C) Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, A.01-01-010, Final Arbitrator's report Cal. PUC, July 16, 2001 at 139.

⁶ Docket 23396, *Petition of CoServ, Inc. for Interconnection Agreement with SWBT*, Arbitration Award at 139, TX PUC, April 17, 2001.

that "[SBC] has an obligation to provide that unspliced UNE dark fiber to [the CLEC] and splice it upon request."7

The Texas PUC explained its decision by noting that it found "no reason to distinguish between fiber that is deployed and spliced and fiber that is deployed and un-spliced; doing so would limit [CLECs'] ability to request UNE dark fiber."8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

5

6

In light of these facts, the Authority should adopt the best practices regarding splicing and termination of dark fiber developed by state commissions around the country and incorporate the findings into its rules. The Authority should allow ITC^DeltaCom to access dark fiber at any technically feasible point in its network, even if providing such access would require BellSouth to undertake fiber splicing for ITC^DeltaCom. By adopting a progressive stance regarding the efficient use of ILEC excess capacity, the Tennessee Regulatory Authority would be following the best practices of other state commissions that have examined this issue.

16

17

18

19

20

ITC^DeltaCom also asks that the Authority recognize that CLECs need to have the capability to share capital within a central office by allowing CLECs to order services into each other's collocation space. ITC^DeltaCom also requests that the Authority recognize that access points immediately outside of a central office

⁷ Petition of El Paso Networks, LLC for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Southwestern Bell Telephone, Docket No. 25188, at 139, TX PUC, July 31, 2002 ("EPN Texas Revised Arbitration Award").

⁸ EPN Texas Revised Arbitration Award, at 139.

or building should be made available to CLECs when BellSouth does not have access or capacity into a Central Office or building, but the CLEC does have such access.

A:

Issue 36: UNE/ Special Access Combinations

Q: SHOULD ITC^DELTACOM BE ABLE TO CONNECT UNE LOOPS TO SPECIAL ACCESS TRANSPORT?

Yes. In the current interconnection agreement ITC^DeltaCom is allowed to interconnect special access transport to UNE loops. BellSouth seeks to remove that capability from our contract after agreeing to this language in past. There are various circumstances where ITC^DeltaCom has special access services in combinations with UNEs today and ITC^DeltaCom should not be forced to make changes to the existing network.

ITC^DeltaCom also believes the FCC will address the issue of UNE special access combinations in the concept of commingling in their Triennial Review Order. ITC^DeltaCom therefore reserves the right to supplement this response as necessary.

Q: WHAT SHOULD THE AUTHORITY ORDER ON THIS ISSUE?

21 A: The Authority should approve the existing language for the full term of the interconnection agreement.

Issue 37: Conversion of Special Access to a UNE Loop

2 WHAT IS ITC^DELTACOM'S POSITION WITH REGARD TO BEING ABLE TO Q: **CONVERT SPECIAL ACCESS LOOPS TO UNE LOOPS?** 3

ITC^DeltaCom should be able to convert FCC Special Access loops that terminate into an ITC^DeltaCom collocation into an UNE loop. BellSouth's position seems to be that the FCC did not address the conversion of stand-alone elements, which are elements that terminate into a collocation agreement. However, with the UNE local loop ITC^DeltaCom is actually billed three different elements: the loop going to the customer premise, a UNE cross connect charge and a UNE physical POP bay charge. ITC^DeltaCom has three different network elements being combined between the customer premise and our collocation and because of this combination we see no reason why we should not be able to take those network elements and convert them from a special access service. Further, BellSouth has agreed to language with AT&T in other states whereby BellSouth has agreed to convert a special access loop to a UNE loop that goes to a collocation site without any disconnection to the customer.

17

18

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A:

BellSouth is trying to make it more difficult for ITC^DeltaCom to legitimately convert its network to UNE elements where it has a right to do so.

20

21

23

19

HOW SHOULD THE AUTHORITY RULE ON THIS ISSUE? Q:

22 A: ITC^DeltaCom seeks to obtain UNE rates for those loops being used to provide local service and that terminate to ITC^DeltaCom's collocation without causing its customers an outage.

<u>Issue 57: Rates and Changes for Conversion of Customers from Special Access</u> <u>to UNE-Based Service</u>

A:

Q: WHAT IS ITC^DELTACOM'S POSITION REGARDING THIS ISSUE?

This is the case where the local loop or the EEL is already in service. This is a conversion where there is no disconnect and reconnect, but simply a billing change. ITC^DeltaCom's position is because there is no change in the physical makeup of the loop, that this should be an administrative charge only. ITC^DeltaCom's position is that BellSouth and AT&T current interconnection agreements in other states permit AT&T to send a spreadsheet with a list of special access circuits to be converted to a UNE loop that goes into a collocation. This practice should also be extended to ITC^DeltaCom and in Tennessee.

BellSouth's position, as we understand it, is that it is not required to perform conversions of special access to UNE, except for specific combinations. BellSouth is simply trying to utilize the FCC to ask it to list or order it to list every conceivable combination, and in not doing so, it is up to ITC^DeltaCom to go through a new business request where such things could take anywhere from a minimum of 90 to 120 days to work out the issue that BellSouth has already worked out with AT&T. This issue is not outside of the scope of the interconnection agreement, as BellSouth alleges. We are simply taking special access circuits and moving them over to UNE based services.

There could be a reasonable administrative charge. ITC^DeltaCom wants to insure there is no disconnect or reconnect, i.e., there is no outage or impact to the customer. Further, ITC^DeltaCom does not want to pay for the full installation charges of the FCC circuit and then turn around and incur the full installation charges of a UNE circuit.

Issues 44 and 46: Establishment of Trunk Groups for Operator and Emergency Services and Busy Line Verify ("BLV") and Busy Line Verify Interrupt ("BLVI")

Q: WHY IS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF OPERATOR TRUNKS IMPORTANT TO ITC^DELTACOM?

12 A: Trunks be

services operation.

Trunks between the operator centers of BellSouth and ITC^DeltaCom have been in place since 1998. The operator services and the trunks used to provide these operator services have been in place for the last five years. During this time period, the rates, terms and conditions have been in the interconnection agreements. ITC^DeltaCom is one of a few CLECs that has its own operator

Q: BELLSOUTH WOULD LIKE ITC^DELTACOM TO FILL OUT A BFR (BONA-FIDE REQUEST) TO PROVIDE THIS SERVICE GOING FORWARD. PLEASE COMMENT.

A: ITC^DeltaCom should not have to file a BFR for additional trunk groups or recertify the existing trunk groups. The current language allows for the establishment of these trunks and is for the mutual benefit of ITC^DeltaCom and BellSouth customers.

Q: WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION?

A: BellSouth argues the information and services are available in its access tariff.

However, BellSouth's access tariff only contains BellSouth operator service

products. The tariff provides products that are for carriers that do not have their

own operator center. The tariff does not offer language or interconnection rates,

terms and conditions for operator center to operator center connections.

Q:

A:

WHY ARE THESE TRUNKS IMPORTANT? CAN YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT COULD HAPPEN IF THESE TRUNKS WERE DISCONNECTED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEW INTERCONNECTION LANGUAGE?

Take the example where a BellSouth customer needs to reach an ITC^DeltaCom customer on an emergency basis. The BellSouth Customer would dial 0 to reach a BellSouth operator. That BellSouth operator needs to have the capability of reaching an ITC^DeltaCom operator so that the ITC^DeltaCom operator can either intercept, verify busy or otherwise reach the ITC^DeltaCom customer.

This example also works in reverse. If an ITC^DeltaCom customer needs to reach a BellSouth customer, our operator center should be able to directly reach a BellSouth operator. Without these trunks, the operator centers have no reasonable way to communicate with each other.

1	Q:	ARE THESE TRUNK FACILITIES IN PLACE TODAY?
2	A:	Yes. In fact, the trunks were set up as two-way trunks designed to allow for such
3		interconnection.
4		
5	Q:	WHY CAN'T YOU DO AS BELLSOUTH SUGGESTS AND ORDER
6		BELLSOUTH SERVICES AND HAVE YOUR OPERATORS DIAL "0" VIA
7		BUSINESS LINES OR OTHER PRODUCT?
8	A:	This trunking arrangement is for the mutual benefit of the two operator service
9		platforms so that both companies can service their consumers. This is not a
10		service or individual retail product but is an integral part of connecting two local
11		companies that each have their own operator center. I will add that
12		ITC^DeltaCom does pay BellSouth for 100% of the transport costs for this mutual
13		arrangement.
14		
15		BellSouth's position treats ITC^DeltaCom as a retail customer and not as a
16		carrier. Under BellSouth's proposal, ITC^DeltaCom would have to order operator
17		services from BellSouth out of BellSouth's FCC access tariffs. As to the reverse,
18		BellSouth has indicated that the BellSouth customer will be instructed to hang up
19		and dial 911. Ultimately the BellSouth customer, in an emergency basis, would
20		not be able to reach an ITC^DeltaCom customer.
21		
22	Q:	WHY IS BELLSOUTH'S DECISION TO NO LONGER INCLUDE BATES

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR THE INTERCONNECTION OF THE

OPERATOR SERVICES PLATFORMS A BAD DECISION?

ITC^DeltaCom believes this is a step backwards, and the decision impacts our 2 A: customers equally. The new BellSouth position has changed an understanding 3 the companies have had for many years, without a reasonable business 4 explanation. In an era where emergency and operator services are relied upon, 5 especially when time is of the essence, BellSouth is seeking to undo efficient 6 7 interconnections. ITC^DeltaCom believes interconnection between telecommunication companies for operator services and emergency services will 8 increase over time.

10

11

12

1

Q: HOW DOES THE REMOVAL OF THIS CONTRACT LANGUAGE IMPACT ITC^DELTACOM AND ITS CUSTOMERS?

A: By taking away contract language, previously negotiated and agreed to,

BellSouth makes it difficult for ITC^DeltaCom to service its consumers. It is cost

prohibitive to have to continually adapt to shifting positions and policies.

ITC^DeltaCom incurs increased costs related to system changes and processes,

as well as the increased costs of re-training our employees.

18

19

20

21

- DOES Q: BELLSOUTH HAVE LANGUAGE IN OTHER CLEC INTERCONNECTION **AGREEMENTS** THAT PROVIDE **FOR** THE CONNECTION OF THE CLEC'S OPERATOR SERVICES TO BELLSOUTH'S **OPERATOR SERVICES?**
- 23 A: Yes. The following language, attached as Exhibit B, is language found in the

current AT&T/BellSouth interconnection agreements in Florida, Georgia and North Carolina:

Each Party shall establish procedures whereby its operator bureau will coordinate with the operator bureau of the other Party in order to provide Busy Line Verification/Busy Line Verification Interrupt ("BLV/BLVI") services on calls between their respective line side end users for numbers that are not ported.

Also, attached as Exhibit C is BellSouth's non-proprietary response to ITC^DeltaCom's question to BellSouth as to what BellSouth will do when its operator cannot reach an ITC^DeltaCom operator in an emergency situation.

Q: HAVE YOU REVIEWED BELLSOUTH'S GENERAL SERVICES SUBSCRIBER TARIFF ON BLV AND BLVI AND CAN YOU COMMENT ON WHAT YOU READ?

Yes. BellSouth has the capability to provide its customers with this service in their current tariff. In reviewing the service BellSouth did not indicate any restrictions or conditions that the service would only work on the BellSouth Network. Specifically, in Section A.3.15.2, BellSouth recognizes the need for public safety use and does not charge legitimate public safety sources (crisis centers, medical or law enforcement) for this service. BellSouth's own tariff supports our position of the importance to maintain interconnection between the operator centers and to provide a ubiquitous service for all consumers.

Q: IS ITC^DELTACOM ASKING BELLSOUTH TO UNBUNDLE ITS OPERATOR SERVICES?

A: No. ITC^DeltaCom is seeking to ensure that the BellSouth and ITC^DeltaCom operator centers are able to continue providing emergency operator services and busy line interrupt/verification. ITC^DeltaCom is seeking to ensure that traffic (including operator calls) is exchanged on a reciprocal basis.

Q: WHAT SHOULD THE AUTHORITY ORDER ON THIS ISSUE?

A: The Authority should require the parties to interconnect such that emergency operator services and busy line interruption/verification continue for the benefit of both ITC^DeltaCom and BellSouth consumers.

Issue 47: Reverse Collocation

- Q: SHOULD BELLSOUTH OPERATE UNDER THE SAME RATES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS WHEN COLLOCATES IN ITC^DETLACOM'S SPACE?
- 14 A: Yes. Again, this was an issue in our last arbitration case in Tennessee. Prior to
 15 the filing of testimony in Tennessee and prior to the hearing, BellSouth agreed to
 16 operate under the same rates, terms and conditions when BellSouth used
 17 ITC^DeltaCom space. (See Exhibit D Testimony of David Thierry in Docket
 18 27091 filed with the Alabama Public Service Commission.) To allow BellSouth to
 19 use ITC^DeltaCom space without payment is confiscatory.

Q: WHEN ITC^DELTACOM DESIRES TO PLACE EQUIPMENT INTO THE
BELLSOUTH NETWORK, AT A CENTRAL OFFICE, DOES BELLSOUTH GIVE
THIS SPACE TO ITC^DELTACOM AT NO COST?

1 A: No, BellSouth charges ITC^DeltaCom for the application to ask for the space,
2 preparation for the space and power requirements and the rent on the use of
3 space and power for our equipment.

Q: DOES BELLSOUTH ALSO CHARGE FOR THE PREPARATION OF TIE-PAIR CABLES AND INTERCONNECTION BETWEEN THE COLLOCATION AND THE BELLSOUTH NETWORK?

A: Yes, that is considered in the preparation charge and ITC^DeltaCom also receives a cross-connect charge whenever we connect to BellSouth or other carriers within the central office.

Q: WHEN BELLSOUTH DESIRES TO PLACE EQUIPMENT INTO THE ITC^DELTACOM NETWORK, AT A CENTRAL OFFICE, DOES BELLSOUTH EXPECT ITC^DELTACOM TO GIVE THIS SPACE TO BELLSOUTH AT NO COST?

A:

Yes. Today BellSouth expects ITC^DeltaCom to process a request from BellSouth for the space, prepare the space (including power requirements), and allow BellSouth to use the space and power for their equipment at no charge. However, ITC^DeltaCom should be compensated by BellSouth for the processing, preparation and use of ITC^DeltaCom space at the same rates BellSouth charges ITC^DeltaCom.

Q: DOES BELLSOUTH ALSO EXPECT ITC^DELTACOM NOT TO CHARGE FOR
THE PREPARATION OF TIE-PAIR CABLES AND INTERCONNECTION
BETWEEN THE COLLOCATION AND THE ITC^DELTACOM NETWORK?

A: Yes, BellSouth does not want to pay ITC^DeltaCom for any work, material, service that is associated with BellSouth equipment into the ITC^DeltaCom network.

Q:

IF BELLSOUTH INSTALLS EQUIPMENT AT AN ITC^DELTACOM POP SITE DIRECTLY FOR ITC^DELTACOM'S BENEFIT, WHY SHOULD ITC^DELTACOM EXPECT TO BE COMPENSATED FROM BELLSOUTH FOR THE PREPARATION AND USE OF SPACE IN ITC^DELTACOM'S NETWORK?

There are a couple key reasons why ITC^DeltaCom is not the sole beneficiary from the use of the equipment that BellSouth places into the ITC^DeltaCom network. This equipment supports the products and revenue that BellSouth sells to other carriers. BellSouth utilizes this equipment for wholesale customers, where ITC^DeltaCom is the Interexchange provider and BellSouth is the local provider. BellSouth also delivers their own DS3s for BellSouth local originated traffic on this equipment. Finally, BellSouth has previously testified before other state Commissions in arbitration proceedings between itself and ITC^DeltaCom that it is willing to compensate ITC^DeltaCom when Bellsouth collocates within an ITC^DeltaCom owned POP. It appears BellSouth has changed its position.

Conversely, when ITC^DeltaCom collocates with BellSouth it is primarily to order BellSouth services and as the Authority is well aware BellSouth receives a significant amount of revenue from the collocations that ITC^DeltaCom deploys into the BellSouth network.

WHEN BELLSOUTH SELLS SERVICES TO OTHER CARRIERS THAT NEED

TO BE DELIVERED TO YOUR CARRIER POP, DOES BELLSOUTH SHARE

ANY REVENUE OR PAY ITC^DELTACOM ANY COST FOR THEIR PRODUCT

AND ACTIVITY?

10 A: No. Again, BellSouth does not pay or compensate ITC^DeltaCom for any use of our network infrastructure associated with BellSouth products or interconnection

trunks.

Q:

- Q: THE ISSUE OF COLLOCATION SPACE WITHIN ITC^DELTACOM DEALS
 PRIMARILY WITH ENTRANCE FACILITIES. WHAT IS YOUR DEFINITION OF
 ENTRANCE FACILITIES?
- A: Entrance facilities is a transport system with a wide variety of configurations. Typically, entrance facilities are an OC-12 or an OC-48 transport system with DS3 or OC-3 outputs between the CLEC/IXC POP and the BellSouth end office. The entrance facilities are in place so that the IXC/CLEC can order services (UNE and FCC) from BellSouth and so that BellSouth can deliver their local traffic into the ITC^DeltaCom network.

Q: IF YOU INSTALL THE EXACT SAME ENTRANCE FACILITY EQUIPMENT IN
THE BELLSOUTH NETWORK THAT BELLSOUTH INSTALLED IN YOUR
NETWORK FOR ENTRANCE FACILITIES, DO YOU HAVE TO PAY
BELLSOUTH FOR THIS SPACE?

5 A: Yes.

- 7 Q: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 8 A: Yes.

EXHIBIT A

Attachment 2 Page 18

2.6.8.1 In these scenarios, BellSouth will connect the requested UNTW pairs to a single point of interconnection (SPOI) designed for NewSouth access to BellSouth's NTW. The SPOI will be installed either near BellSouth's garden terminal or wiring closet. NewSouth will be required to place a cross-box, terminal or other similar device and deliver a cable to this SPOI. NewSouth will then connect their cable to the cross-connect panel to access the requested UNTW pairs.

2.7 Dark Fiber

2.7.1 Definition

Dark Fiber is optical transmission facilities without attached multiplexing, aggregation or other electronics that connects two points within BellSouth's network. Dark Fiber is unused strands of optical fiber. It may be strands of optical fiber existing in aerial or underground structure. No line terminating elements terminated to such strands to operationalize its transmission capabilities will be available. No regeneration or optical amplification will be included with this element.

2.7.2 Requirements

- 2.7.2.1 BellSouth shall make available in a reasonable and non-discriminatory manner, Dark Fiber where it exists in BellSouth's network and where, as a result of future building or deployment, it becomes available. If BellSouth has bona fide plans to use the fiber within a two year planning period, there is no requirement to provide said fiber to NewSouth. BellSouth shall provide access to Dark Fiber at any technically feasible point.
- 2.7.2.2 If the requested dark fiber has any lightwave repeater equipment interspliced to it. BellSouth will remove such equipment at NewSouth's request subject to time and materials charges.
- 2.7.2.3 NewSouth may test the quality of the Dark Fiber to confirm its usability and performance specifications.
- 2.7.2.4 BellSouth shall use its best efforts to provide to NewSouth information regarding the location, availability and performance of Dark Fiber within ten (10) business days for a records based answer and twenty (20) calendar days for a field based answer, after receiving a request from NewSouth ("Request"). Such request shall not be denied based on the fact that designated locations are not BellSouth end-offices or NewSouth's collocation space. Within such time period, BellSouth shall send written confirmation of availability of the Dark Fiber ("Confirmation"). From the time of the Request to forty-five (45) days after Confirmation, BellSouth shall hold such requested Dark Fiber for NewSouth's use and may not allow any other party to use such media, including BellSouth.
- 2.7.2.5 BellSouth shall use its best efforts to make Dark Fiber available to NewSouth within thirty (30) business days after it receives written confirmation from NewSouth that the Dark Fiber previously deemed available by BellSouth is wanted for use by NewSouth,

EXHIBIT B

Attachment 3 Page 12

providers, other independent LECs, and CLECs) that directly connect to the access tandem.

- 3.7 For BellSouth and offices that do not normally subtend tandem for which calls are routed to that end office on an alternate routing basis. BellSouth will provide AT&T its alternative routing (scheme) arrangements. Where BellSouth utilizes alternative arrangements, it shall deliver any traffic through that alternative routing.
- 3.8 The Parties shall deliver over any trunk groups groomed for a specific end office only traffic destined for those publicly-dialable NPA NXX codes served by that end office, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties.
- The source for the routing information for all traffic shall be the LERG, unless otherwise agreed to between the Parties.
- 3.10 Where either Party delivers over the local traffic trunk groups miscellaneous calls (e.g., time, weather, 976) destined for the other Party, it shall deliver such traffic in accordance with the serving arrangements defined in the LERG.
- The Parties will cooperate to establish separate, choke trunk groups for the completion of calls to customers such as radio contest lines. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties agree that where the Parties' switch has the capability to perform call gapping and other protective network traffic management controls, separate trunk groups shall not be required to carry such traffic.
- 3.12 N11 code traffic shall be routed between the Parties' networks pursuant to accepted industry practice (e.g., over local traffic trunks or over separate trunk groups).
- 3.13 Each Party shall establish procedures whereby its operator bureau will coordinate with the operator bureau of the other Party in order to provide Busy Line Verification/Busy Line Verification Interrupt ("BLV/BLVI") services on calls between their respective line side end users for numbers that are not ported.
- A blocking standard of one-half of one percent (.005) shall be maintained during the average busy hour for final trunk groups carrying jointly provided exchange access traffic between an end office and an access tandem. All other final trunk groups are to be engineered with a blocking standard of one percent (.01). High usage trunk groups shall be sized to an economic CCS parameter mutually agreed to by both Parties.

GA 98/07/01

EXHIBIT C

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 03-00119 ITC^DeltaCom's First Set of Interrogatories June 12, 2003 Item No.62 Page I of I

REQUEST:

Describe or explain how BellSouth's operator will reach a ITC^DeltaCom operator or customer in an emergency situation and in a busy line interrupt or busy line verification situation.

RESPONSE: When the BellSouth operator receives an emergency request from a customer, the BellSouth operator asks the customer for the city, checks the operator records for the appropriate agency number or connects the customer to directory assistance for the appropriate number and then connects the customer to that agency. The BellSouth operator stays on the line to ensure that the agency is reached.

> Busy line interrupt and busy line verification service is an optional service provided to ITC Deltacom via BellSouth tariff. BellSouth does not subscribe to busy line interrupt or busy line verification service from ITC^Deltacom and BellSouth operators have no provision to contact ITC Deltacom operators for this service. When a request is received to verify or interrupt an ITC Deltacom number, the BellSouth operator advises the customer that this is not a number be or she is able to verify or interrupt.

EXHIBIT D

• 7	BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID L. THIERRY
3 ,	BEFORE THE ALABAMA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	DOCKET NO. 27091
5	JULY 6, 1999
6	
7 Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH
8	TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("BELLSOUTH" OR "THE
9	COMPANY") AND YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS.
10	
11 A.	My name is David Thierry. I am employed by BellSouth as Manager -
12	Interconnection Services. My business address is 675 West Peachtree
13	Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375.
14	
15 Q.	PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR BACKGROUND
16	AND EXPERIENCE.
17	
18 A.	My education includes a Bachelor of Arts in Economics from Emory
19	University, Atlanta, Georgia in June of 1985. My professional career
20	with BellSouth spans over eleven years and includes experience in the
21	development of service cost studies, the development of tariffs, and
22	physical collocation contract negotiations. In my current position, I
23	supervise the Company's physical collocation contract negotiator and
24	work with subject matter experts within BellSouth to ensure that our
25	

1	physical collocation contract reflects current federal and state		
2	regulations and BellSouth policies.		
3			
4	Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE A STATE		
5	COMMISSION?		
6			
7	A. Yes. I testified before the Florida Public Service Commission.		
8			
9	Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?		
10			
11	A. My testimony provides BellSouth's position on a number of collocation		
12	issues raised by ITC^DeltaCom ("DeltaCom") in its Petition for		
13	Arbitration filed with the Alabama Public Service Commission		
14	("Commission"). Specifically, I am responding to Issues 4(a) through		
15	4(d) and 6(d).		
16			
17	ISSUE 4(A): SHOULD BELLSOUTH PROVIDE CAGELESS		
18	COLLOCATION TO ITC DELTACOM 30 DAYS AFTER A COMPLETE		
19	APPLICATION IS FILED?		
20			
21	Q. IS BELLSOUTH REQUIRED BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS		
22	COMMISSION'S (FCC) RECENT ADVANCED SERVICES ORDER TO		
23	MAKE CAGELESS COLLOCATION AVAILABLE 30 DAYS AFTER A		
24	COMPLETE APPLICATION IS FILED, AS DELTACOM ASSERTS IN		
25	ITS PETITION?		

-2-

A. No. BellSouth is not required by the FCC's Advanced Services Order to provide cageless Collocation within 30 days. In fact, in paragraph 54 of the Order, the FCC states, "[w]e do not adopt specific provisioning intervals at this time. We have adopted several new collocation rules in this Order, and we do not yet have sufficient experience with the implementation of these new collocation arrangements to suggest time frames for provisioning." (¶ 54 First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket 98-147)

11 Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S PROCESS FOR MAKING COLLOCATION

AVAILABLE TO COMPETITIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS

("CLEC")?

A BellSouth has a two-phased process: Application phase and Firm Order phase. During the Application phase, BellSouth evaluates whether it has the physical collocation space and necessary support components available to provide physical collocation. During this phase, BellSouth works with the CLEC to solidify technical requirements for the CLEC's installation. BellSouth provides a detailed written response to each collocation application, indicating the cabling distances, manhole locations, floor plan specifications, estimated preparation costs and other technical details. The CLEC has 30 days to review BellSouth's response and return a Bona Fide Firm Order to BellSouth to indicate that the CLEC will proceed with its equipment

1.	installation. BellSouth's provisioning interval begins with the receipt of		
2	a Bona Fide Firm Order. Each CLEC must successfully complete the		
3	Application Phase before BellSouth will accept a Firm Order.		
4			
5	Q. SHOULD BELLSOUTH BE REQUIRED TO MAKE CAGELESS		
6	COLLOCATION AVAILABLE 30 DAYS AFTER DELTACOM'S		
7	SUBMISSION OF A BONA FIDE FIRM ORDER?		
8			
9	A. No. BellSouth's provisioning interval is not controlled by the time		
10	required to construct an arrangement enclosure. In fact, when		
11	BellSouth has performed the construction of an arrangement		
12	enclosure, the activities required to design and construct the enclosure		
13	were a relatively minor portion, and not the controlling factor, in the		
14	provisioning interval for collocation. BellSouth provides a turn key		
15	solution for physical collocation. Included in the overall provisioning		
16	interval is the time required to complete the space conditioning, add to		
17	or upgrade the heating, ventilation and air conditioning system for that		
18	area, add to or upgrade the power plant capacity and power distribution		
19	mechanism, and build out network infrastructure components such as		
20	the number of cross-connects requested. When the construction of an		
21	arrangement enclosure is not required or is not performed by		
22	BellSouth, all other collocation area and network infrastructure work		
23	must still take place. The absence of enclosure construction has little,		
24	if any, bearing on the provisioning interval. BellSouth commits to		
25	complete its construction and provisioning activities as soon as		

	possible but, at a maximum, within the intervals specified in the		
	2 agreement BellSouth has proposed to DeltaCom. I have attached a		
	3 copy of the proposed agreement as Exhibit DLT-1. Because space		
	4 preparation and network infrastructure work must be completed		
	regardless of the type of arrangement selected, BellSouth's		
	provisioning intervals of 90 business days under normal conditions or		
•	7 130 business days under extraordinary conditions, are appropriately		
į	applied to either enclosed (caged) or unenclosed (cageless) physical		
5			
10			
11	ISSUE 4(B): SHOULD BELLSOUTH BE REQUIRED TO COMPENSATE		
12			
13			
14			
15	Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THIS ISSUE?		
16			
17	A. This issue, as stated by DeltaSom, is unclear. BellSouth has no plans		
18	to collocate within DeltaCom's collocation space located within a		
19	BellSouth central office. I believe DeltaCom's referring to a situation		
20	where BellSouth would establish a collocation space within a DeltaCom		
21	premises, for purposes of Local Interconnection.		
22			
23	Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION REGARDING COMPENSATING		
24	DELTACOM TO COLLOCATE WITHIN A DELTACOM PREMISES?		
25			

•) A.	If DeltaCom is referring to BellSouth's collocating within a DeltaCom-
	2	owned Point of Presence ("POP") to establish Local Interconnection
3	3	("reverse collocation"), BellSouth has already agreed to pay collocation
4)	rates that mirror the rates in the collocation agreement between
£	5	DeltaCom and BellSouth for DeltaCom's collocation arrangements
6	j	within a BellSouth central office. In fact, BellSouth has had a reverse
7		collocation agreement in place with DeltaCom since December 15,
8		1998. This reverse collocation agreement is coterminous with the
9		interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and DeltaCom. As
10		such, BellSouth has planned to replace the existing reverse collocation
11		agreement with a new one that mirrors the new collocation agreement,
12		once the companies have a new collocation agreement in place. For
13		more details regarding this issue, please see Mr. Varner's testimony.
14		
15	ISS	UE 4(C): SHOULD ITC^DELTACOM AND ITS AGENTS BE SUBJECT
16	TO:	STRICTER SECURITY REQUIREMENTS THAN THOSE APPLIED TO
17	BEL	LSOUTH'S AGENTS AND THIRD PARTY QUTSIDE CONTRACTORS?
18		
19	Q.	IN ITS PETITION, DELTACOM STATES: "THE SAME SECURITY
20		PROCEDURES SHOULD BE APPLIED TO ITC A AS THAT WHICH
21		BST APPLIES TO ITSELF AND ITS AGENTS AND THIRD PARTY
22		CONTRACTORS." WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION?
23		
24	A.	BellSouth agrees with DeltaCom. BellSouth imposes the same level of
25		security on CLEC employees given access to BellSouth central offices

•	•	and a supproved third party
	2	vendors.
	3	
	4 (2)	ARE BELLSOUTH'S SECURITY REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED UPON
į	5	BELTACOM MORE STRICT THAN THOSE APPLIED TO ITS
6	5	EMPLOYEES OR THIRD PARTY VENDORS?
7		
8	Α.	No. As I stated above, BellSouth imposes the same level of security of
9		CLEC employees given access to BellSouth central offices as it
10		imposes on its own employees, agents or approved third party vendors
11		
12	Q.	WHAT ARE THE SECURITY MEASURES BELLSOUTH UTILIZES
13		WITH CLEC EMPLOYEES GIVEN ACCESS TO BELLSOUTH
14		CENTRAL OFFICES?
15		
16	Α.	These security measures are as follows: (1) BellSouth requires the use
17		of Card Reader and/or Key access to its central office premises. For
18		this access, BellSouth requires submission of an application containing
19		the social security number of the individual to which the card/key will be
20		assigned. (2) BellSouth has developed security training that it requires
21		CLECs to provide to its employees prior to their being given access to
22		BellSouth central offices. (3) BellSouth requires the CLEC to perform a
23	•	criminal background check of each CLEC employee being considered
24		for access to a BellSouth central office.
25		

i :

25

1	ARE BELLSOUTH'S SECURITY REQUIREMENTS IN COMPLIANCE
2	
3	
4	A. Yes. RellSouth has a right and an obligation to put in place security
5	requirements to protect its network. The FCC recognizes the
6	importance of an ILEC's security obligations in its recent Order 99-48.
7	In pertinent part, the FCC states:
В	
9	"We [FCC] conclude, based on the record, that incumbent LECs
10	may impose security arrangements that are as stringent as the
11	security arrangements that incumbent LECs maintain at their
12	own premises either for their own employees or for authorized
13	contractors. To the extent existing security arrangements are
14	more stringent for one group than for the other, the incumbent
15	may impose the more stringent requirements" (RCC 99-48, ¶
16	47).
17	
18	ISSUE 4(D): WHETHER BELLSOUTH SHOULD BE PERMITTED TO
19	RECLAIM COLLOCATION SPACE IF BELLSOUTH BELIEVES THAT
20	ITC^DELTACOM IS NOT FULLY UTILIZING SUCH SPACE?
21	
22 (2. WHY DOES BELLSOUTH PROPOSE CONTRACT LANGUAGE THAT
23	ALLOWS IT TO RECLAIM COLLOCATION SPACE?
24	
25	

BellSouth imposes this restriction to protect valuable Central Office space from being "warehoused" by any one collocator. BellSouth 2 imposes this restriction in compliance with two FCC guidelines. In its 3 First Report and Order, the FCC states that "restrictions on 4 warehousing of space by interconnectors are appropriate. Because 5 collocation space on incumbent LEC premises may be limited. 6 7 inefficient use of space by one competitive entrant could deprive another entrant of the opportunity to collocate facilities or expand existing space" (FCC 98-325, ¶586). The FCC also states that "Incumbent LECs may not \(\chi\) reserve space for future use on terms 10 11 more favorable than those that apply to other telecommunications carriers seeking to hold collocation space for their own future use" 12 13 (FCC 96-325 ¶ 604). 14 Pursuant to these FCC guidelines, BellSouth allows collocators to 15 occupy space to accommodate a two-year forecast. BellSouth's 15 proposed language states that, should DeltaCom not use 50% or 100% 17 of its collocation space (by end of year 1 and year 2 of a two-year. 18 contract, respectively), BellSouth may reclaim the unused space. 19 BellSouth will allow DeltaCom to share a caged collocation 20 arrangement to meet these space utilization standards. 21

23 ISSUE 6(D): WHAT SHOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE RATE FOR

24 CAGELESS/SHARED COLLOCATION IN LIGHT OF THE RECENT FCC

-9-

25 ADVANCED SERVICES ORDER?

2 (Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE, IN GENERAL, THE RATE STRUCTURE		
3			
4			
5 A	Yes. The proposed agreement contains elemental rates that allow		
6	DeltaCom to purchase cageless collocation and shared caged		
7	collocation pursuant to the FCC's recent Order 99-48. The majority of		
8	the rates in the proposed agreement were established by the		
9	Commission in Docket No. 26029, the generic UNE proceeding.		
10	Additionally, BellSouth has included rate elements for collocation		
13	options it developed for other CLECs (e.g., fiber cross-connects, wire		
12	mesh enclosures, standardized space preparation charges) and for		
13	items which incorporate the FCC's new collocation rules (e.g., security		
14	access, space availability report). The proposed rates for fiber cross-		
15	connects, pre-existing POT bays, and wire mesh enclosures are based		
16	upon TELRIC studies. These elements are addressed in the testimony		
17	of BellSouth witness Ms. Caldwell. The remaining rates are proposed		
18	interim rates which were developed using cost estimates or existing		
19	FCC tariff rates. These rates are offered subject to true-up, upon		
20	approval of final rates by this Commission. BellSouth witness Mr.		
21	Varner further addresses the proposed interim rates in his testimony.		
22	in this lestimony.		
23 Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH PROPOSED RATES FOR CAGELESS		
24	COLLOCATION THAT ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FCC'S		
25	RECENT ADVANCED SERVICES ORDER?		

-10-

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Yes. The agreement BellSouth proposed to DeltaCom (Exhibit DLT-1) A. contains an elemental rate structure which allows DeltaCom to order cageless collocation in increments of one bay and is thus in compliance with the FCC's Order. BellSouth's rates, established by this Commission in the generic UNE proceeding, appropriately apply to physical collocation whether an arrangement is enclosed (caged) or unenclosed (cageless). For example, BellSouth must perform the same review and analysis of its central office and determine its ability to provide collocation regardless of whether an arrangement is enclosed or unenclosed. BellSouth's Application fee, as approved by this Commission, recovers BellSouth's cost for such review and analysis. Additionally, BellSouth charges for floor space on a per square foot basis, charges for power on a per amp basis and requires payment for cross-connects and entrance cable installation on a per connection basis, only upon request.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Under this elemental rate structure, should DelfaCom desire a caged enclosure, DeltaCom would contract directly with its certified vendor to construct a cage. In either case, BellSouth would not assess any enclosure fees. As noted in the rate matrix contained in exhibit DLT-1, an enclosure fee is applicable only for arrangements requested prior to 6-1-99, and then only where BellSouth constructed the arrangement enclosure. The elemental billing approach proposed by BellSouth

25 and Jak Jam Jam

04-Aug-03 12:14P

	All T
Ŋ.	

1	allows DeltaCom to order cageless collocation by simply requesting
2	and paying for collocation rate elements only in the quantity it needs.
3	
4	Q. HAS BELLSOUTH PROPOSED RATES FOR SHARED CAGED
5	COLLOCATION THAT ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FCC'S
6	RECENT ADVANCED SERVICES ORDER?
7	
8	A. Yes. As with cageless collocation, the elemental rate structure
9	BellSouth proposed to DeltaCom complies with the FCC's Order
10	regarding shared caged collocation arrangements. The terms under
11	which DeltaCom may share a caged collocation arrangement are
12	specified within Section 3.3 of the proposed agreement. Where
13	DeltaCom elects to share a caged collocation space, DeltaCom would
.14	establish itself as the "Host" collocator. As the Host, DeltaCom may
15	allow other telecommunications carriers ("Guests") to share its caged
16	collocation arrangement, where such an arrangement is not prohibited
17	by local building codes or pre-existing lease terms, should the
18	BellSouth central office be located within a leased space. While
19	DeltaCom remains accountable to BellSouth for the rates and charges
20	associated with the shared caged space, DeltaCom may negotiate
21	directly with its Guest collocator(s), pursuant to the FCC's new rules,
22	for the allocation of collocation expenses (e.g., floor space, power,
23	application fees). This arrangement allows DeltaCom to incorporate by
24	reference, in its agreement with its Guest(s), the rates, terms and



conditions of the agreement between DeltaCom and BellSouth. Within

the shared caged arrangement, the Host and Guest may either share power and interconnection facilities or may separately arrange such connections with BellSouth. In sum, the elemental rate structure proposed by BellSouth, in conjunction with the terms and conditions contained in Section 3.3 of the proposed agreement, incorporates the FCC's new rules regarding shared caged collocation arrangements.

B

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

